An Accurate and Cost-Effective Tactile Sensor for Force Vector and Contact Location

Zixi Liu*1, Thomas Umbach*1,2, Prin Pulkes1, and Robert D. Howe 1

Abstract—Affordable vet capable and accurate tactile sensors are essential for enhancing the reliability of robot grasping and manipulation in unstructured environments, such as homes. While a wide range of tactile sensors have been developed across literature, integrating them into realistic deployable robots often involves a design tradeoff between expensive, fragile sensors that offer accuracy and more affordable ones with limited functionality. This work bridges this gap by introducing a novel cost-effective tactile sensor (\$10 per sensor) capable of accurately measuring 3-DOF force vectors (0.4 N RMSE) as well as contact locations (2mm RMSE). Leveraging MEMS barometers along with additional 3D-printed mechanical structures, the sensor exhibits sensitivity to both shear and normal forces and effectively eliminates dead zones. The fabrication process, employing 3D printing and polymer casting, is streamlined, and all associated printed circuit board (PCB) designs and code are made open source, facilitating rapid prototyping for everyone. To showcase its practical applications, the tactile sensor is integrated into a Yale Open Hand [1], demonstrating its efficacy in realistic manipulation tasks. This work not only presents a viable solution for tactile sensor integration into various robot hands but also offers opportunities for human data collection, thereby paving the way for advancements in tactile sensing across robotics and human-machine interaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Robots rely on effective tactile sensing for reliable grasping and dexterous manipulation in unstructured environments like homes [2]–[5]. This is because tactile sensing provides essential information about object geometry, surface properties, contact force, and location, which enables slip estimation and overall grasp stability [6]. While highly instrumented robot hands excel in controlled lab settings, household integration demands affordability. Current approaches, employing technologies like computer vision and costly tactile sensing, face challenges in occlusion, cost, consistency, interpretability, and accuracy [7]. To bridge this gap, here we introduce a novel cost-effective tactile sensor for robot hands that accurately measures both the force vector as well as the contact location.

II. METHOD

As shown in Figure 1 (A-a), we design a PCB (16 mm \times 40 mm) housing six MEMS barometers (Infineon DPS310), each measuring 2 mm \times 2.5 mm \times 1 mm. To tackle the

This research is supported by the Amazon Robotics Greater Boston Tech Initiative.

²Thomas Umbach is with the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Allston, MA, USA, and ETH Zurich Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland. e-mail: tumbach@seas.harvard.edu

Robert D. Howe has a financial interest in RightHand Robotics, Inc. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.



Fig. 1. (A) Tactile Sensor Design. (A-a) PCB with six MEMS barometers and a 11-pin Molex connector for easy fabrication. (A-b) A side view of the tactile sensor design which consists of four layers: (1) the PCB with barometer sensors, (2) a soft flexure-like structure for enhanced shear-force measurement, (3) a right plate that eliminates dead zones, filled with soft silicone for support, and (4) a firm layer of silicone for enhanced grip. (A-c) The tactile sensor is integrated with a Yale Open Hand [1]. (B) Calibration Protocol: A force sensor probe equipped with fiducials for pose tracking probes the robot finger mounted with our tactile sensor (B-a) with varying force magnitude, direction, and contact location enabled by a robot arm.(B-b)

sensitivity challenge in the shear direction as identified in the prior art on barometer-based tactile sensors [8], we design a 3D-printed flexure-like structure and mount them on two out of the six barometers. This structure is then covered with a rigid plate to eliminate dead zones, as illustrated in Figure 1 (A-b). As illustrated in Figure 1 (B), we use an external probe equipped with a force sensor (ATI Nano17. Resolution: 1/160 N, 1/32 Nmm) and fiducials for pose tracking (Atracsys Fusion Track 500, Accuracy: 0.090 mm RMS), mounted to a robot arm (UR-5) to collect calibration data. We train a fully connected neural network that takes the barometer sensor readings and outputs the contact force and location. After calibration, six tactile sensors (distal and proximal links for each finger) are integrated with a Yale Open Hand [1].

III. RESULTS

Preliminary results indicate that we can attain a Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of 0.4 N in the force vector and an RMSE of 2 mm in the contact location with a cost as low as \$10 per sensor (\$60 per hand with full coverage).

IV. DISCUSSION

This work presents a novel open-source design of a tactile sensor measuring 3-DOF force vector and contact location, that is affordable, accurate, and easy to fabricate, requiring minimal equipment. This represents an exciting solution not only for robot hands but also for collecting human tactile data.

^{*}The first two authors contributed equally to this work.

¹Zixi Liu, Prin Pulkes, and Robert D. Howe are with the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Allston, MA, USA. corresponding author e-mails: zixiliu@g.harvard.edu, howe@seas.harvard.edu

REFERENCES

- L. U. Odhner, L. P. Jentoft, M. R. Claffee, N. Corson, Y. Tenzer, R. R. Ma, M. Buehler, R. Kohout, R. D. Howe, and A. M. Dollar, "A compliant, underactuated hand for robust manipulation," *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 2014.
- [2] J. M. Romano, K. Hsiao, G. Niemeyer, S. Chitta, and K. J. Kuchenbecker, "Human-Inspired Robotic Grasp Control With Tactile Sensing," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1067–1079, 12 2011. [Online]. Available: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5976472/
- [3] D. Guo, F. Sun, B. Fang, C. Yang, and N. Xi, "Robotic grasping using visual and tactile sensing," *Information Sciences*, vol. 417, pp. 274–286, 11 2017. [Online]. Available: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S002002551730837X
- [4] A. Koenig, Z. Liu, L. Janson, and R. Howe, "The Role of Tactile Sensing in Learning and Deploying Grasp Refinement Algorithms," in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2022.
- [5] A. Rodriguez, "The unstable queen: Uncertainty, mechanics, and tactile feedback," *Science Robotics*, vol. 6, no. 54, p. eabi4667, 5 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/scirobotics.abi4667
- [6] O. Khatib and B. Siciliano, *Springer handbook of robotics*. Springer International Publishing., 2016.
- [7] L. Lach, N. Funk, R. Haschke, S. Lemaignan, H. J. Ritter, J. Peters, and G. Chalvatzaki, "Placing by Touching: An empirical study on the importance of tactile sensing for precise object placing," 3 2023. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2210.02054
- [8] Y. Tenzer, L. P. Jentoft, and R. D. Howe, "The feel of MEMS barometers: Inexpensive and easily customized tactile array sensors," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 89–95, 2014.