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Abstract—Squatting is a compound exercise that can provide strong stimulation to the entire lower limb and trunk, positively affecting cardiovascular functions, neural regulations, and hormone secretion. In addition, squatting is commonly involved in labor intensive tasks where potential injuries may happen, and assistive devices are needed. In this study, we developed a low-cost IMU data acquisition system for capturing human squatting movements, with a total cost of only ~500 UGD. We conducted experiments on normal squats and knee valgus squats. The data obtained is imported into the OpenSim software for analysis, and we obtain experimental results on joint angles, joint moments, muscle forces, and metabolism. The results show that incorrect squatting postures can increase the burden on the hip joint. Additionally, during the squatting process, the tibialis anterior muscle had the highest activation level, while the soleus muscle had the greatest force. Our experimental and simulation results provide guidelines for future design and optimization of exoskeletons to assist humans during squat motions while reducing the risk of injuries.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Squatting is a compound exercise that involves bending the hips and knees to push the body towards the ground and then returning to a standing position. Squats stimulate the entire lower limb and trunk, and can exercise the quadriceps, glutes, hamstrings, and other muscles. Squatting has a positive effect on a series of physiological and biochemical responses, such as cardiovascular functions, neural regulations, and hormone secretion. Therefore, it has been widely used in clinical evaluations [1]–[4]. In addition, squatting is commonly involved in labor intensive tasks, such as workers lifting heavy weights from the ground, during which injuries may occur. Analyzing the musculoskeletal model during squat may help to provide guidelines on the future design of exoskeletons that can assist during squat movements.

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in the biomechanics of squats, together with the potential benefits and risks associated with this exercise. Many studies have used OpenSim software to investigate joint angles, muscle activation, and strength involved in squatting. Florian Schellenberg et al. [5] used OpenSim to simulate and analyze the knee flexion angle during the squatting process. Lu et al. [6] explored the effect of hindfoot eversion on squatting biomechanics. Li et al. [7] analyzed the different biomechanical loads that novice female practitioners exhibit during full and half squat exercises, providing reference for correcting squatting postures. C.A. Gallo et al. [8] and O. Bordron, C. et al. [9] simulated and analyzed the squatting motion using OpenSim software. Yichen Lu et al. [10] compared the lower limb loads during squatting between Asian and Caucasian populations using an OpenSim musculoskeletal model. These simulation analyses provide valuable information for exercise prescription and rehabilitation plans.

Currently, when using OpenSim for squat motion analysis, motion-tracking cameras are commonly used for data collection. However, it is difficult to record the squatting process in free living situations. Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs), which can estimate 3D sensors and segmented directions, have become an attractive alternative for 3D motion capture systems. Some scholars have studied the system combination of IMU data and OpenSim [11], [12]. However, most IMU-based motion capture systems use expensive and closed-source commercial sensor systems such as Xsens [13] or APDM [14], [15], which are difficult for ordinary users to afford [16], [17], and to integrate in affordable assistive devices. Therefore, referring to the solution proposed in [18], we have built a low-cost IMU data acquisition system and applied it to the squatting process. In situations where super high precision is not required, this system has the advantages of low cost, and the ability to collect data without being restricted by the user location or environment.

The contribution of this work includes several aspects:

(1) An IMU data acquisition system was developed for squat posture analysis, which can capture the lower limb status of the human body in real-time.

(2) Based on this system, data collection and simulation analysis of normal squatting and knee valgus squatting were conducted. Parameters including joint angles, moments, muscle forces, and metabolic conditions were then obtained. This provides a baseline and guide for the subsequent development of assistive exoskeletons for squats.

The structure of this article is as follows. Section II introduces the IMU motion-capture system and experimental settings. Section III analyzes the experimental results. Section IV discusses the experimental results and provides guidance for exoskeleton design. Finally, Section V summarizes our findings.
II. METHODS

A. Motion capture prototype

A healthy male participant, aged 24, with a weight of 58.8 kg and a height of 172.7 cm, was recruited for this study. He had no muscle or skeletal injuries or diseases. The participant provided informed consent to participate in the study. The experiments performed in Nanyang Technological University were approved by the ethics committee. The experimental procedures adhered to the Helsinki Declaration.

In reference to [18], a low-cost human motion capture system was assembled for this study. The system comprises eight 9-axis IMU sensors, a Raspberry Pi computer, Qwiic connector cables, and USB rechargeable batteries. The type and unit price of each component are shown in table 1. The total cost of the capture system is approximately 500 USD. Compared to commercial motion capture systems such as Xsens (approximately 40,000 USD), this system has a strong price advantage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Name</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unit Price (USD)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raspberry Pi</td>
<td>4B (4GB RAM) Basic Starter Kit</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMU</td>
<td>Adafruit LSM6DSOX + LIS3MDL - Precision 9 DoF IMU</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qwiic Connector Cables</td>
<td>SparkFun-PRT-14429</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qwiic Mux Breakout</td>
<td>SparkFun- BOB-16784</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strap</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rechargeable Battery</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE. I Low-cost human motion capture system components.

The capture system’s marker setting orientation data generated by the fusion algorithm from the 8 IMU sensors and associated it with the pelvis, according to the

The image in figure 2 illustrates how the Subject squats. The patient was instructed to squat in each test series until his thighs and shanks formed a roughly 90° angle. Throughout the procedure, the patient was told to maintain a squatting frequency of approximately 0.5 Hz. There were two sets of tests, with 15 squat repetitions in each set. In the initial incident, the patient was standing with his feet shoulder-width apart, facing forward, and their arms at their sides, the subject started each condition from this calibrated position and held it for 5 seconds.

The individual was required to do two movements throughout the data collecting process: (1) a squat in a regular posture, and (2) a squat in a knee valgus position. With their feet shoulder-width apart, facing forward, and their arms at their sides, the subject started each condition from this calibrated position and held it for 5 seconds.

Then, we used an open source madgwick data fusion technique[13] in MATLAB R2023a to transform the gathered accelerometer, gyroscope, and magnetometer data from each sensor into quaternion data be examined by OpenSim. Next, we computed kinematic estimations based on IMU motion capture using the OpenSim 4.4 [19] program. We employed the Apoorva Rajagopal et al. Full-Body Musculoskeletal Model [20], which has 80 massless muscle-tendon units and 22 stiff joints. The model is appropriate for various motions, including squatting and walking.

In the next step, we used the OpenSense toolkit in OpenSim 4.4 to calculate IMU-based joint kinematics. We imported IMU orientation data generated by the fusion algorithm from the 8 IMU sensors and associated it with the pelvis, according to the
IMU mapping we set up. Then, we performed inverse kinematics (IK) calculations to solve for joint angles \( q \) based on Eq. (1):

\[
\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i q_i^2
\]

where \( q \) is the number of IMUs, \( w_i \) is the weight of the i-th IMU, and \( \theta \) is the Euler angle measured by the i-th IMU.

After the IK simulation was complete, the collected data could be translated to the musculoskeletal model, as indicated in the figure 2.

Then, to reduce the influence of modeling and marker data processing mistakes, we carried out residual reduction algorithm (RRA) processing based on the outcomes of IK calculation. We next estimated the CMC (muscle control force). During the simulation, we use the CMC tool to determine the muscle excitation levels that cause the dynamic musculoskeletal model's joint angles to move in the required kinematic direction [19]. The CMC accomplishes this objective by combining static optimization with PD (proportional-differential) control. The computational equation is represented in Eq. (2), as seen in Fig. 3 [21].

\[
\ddot{q}(t + T) = \ddot{q}_{exp}(t + T) + \ddot{k}_{q} \left[ \ddot{q}_{exp}(t) - \ddot{q}(t) \right] + \dddot{k}_{q} \left[ \dddot{q}_{exp}(t) - \dddot{q}(t) \right]
\]

\[
J = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_i (\ddot{q}_i - \ddot{q}_j)^2
\]

\[
C_j = \dddot{q}_j - \dddot{q}_j
\]

where \( \dddot{q} \) which, when achieved, will drive the model coordinates \( q \) toward the experimentally derived coordinates \( \dddot{q}_{exp} \). \( \dddot{k} \) and \( \dddot{k} \) are the feedback gains on the velocity and position errors, respectively. \( J \) is the performance criterion.

To calculate the basic metabolic state of the subject's muscles during the squatting process, we integrated a metabolic calculation probe to the CMC calculation in the Musculoskeletal Model. The calculation's equation is shown as Eq. (3)

\[
P_{\text{me}} = \frac{(P_{\text{act}} + P_{\text{basal}})}{\eta}
\]

\[
P_{\text{act}} = \sum_i F_i v_i
\]

where \( P_{\text{me}} \) is the mechanical power produced by the muscles and is calculated by adding the power generated by all muscles involved in the movement. \( P_{\text{basal}} \) is the basal metabolic rate, estimated based on the individual's weight, age, and gender. \( \eta \) is the mechanical efficiency of muscle contraction.

After that, we performed inverse dynamics (ID) calculations to obtain the joint torques during the squatting process.

C. Comparison of IMU and optical motion capture results

In order to evaluate the accuracy of our low-cost IMU motion capture system in capturing deep squatting motion, we compared its results with those of an optical-based motion capture system. The subject performed six sets of deep squat tests while wearing both the marker-based optical system and the IMU device proposed in this study.

Using the optical motion capture data, the model was scaled to calibrate the initial posture, and the marker points were accurately tracked using OpenSim inverse dynamics to determine the motion angles of each joint. These results were then set as a standard reference value for comparison with the measurements obtained from the IMU device.

III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. Accuracy evaluation for low-cost IMU motion capturing system

During the deep squat tests, the IMU system estimated joint kinematics with a maximum RMSE (root mean square error) of 18.91±1.57° and a minimum RMSE of 4.83±0.64°, as compared to the results obtained from the optical motion capture system. The overall RMSE was 10.10±1.95°. The right hip exhibited a relatively higher degree of inaccuracy in both hip rotation and adduction, indicating that the IMU system tended to overestimate the extent of hip motion changes. However, for all joint angles except for Hip Adduction (L) and Hip Rotation (R), the correlation coefficients between the IMU and optical capture systems were greater than 0.878 (Table II). This suggests that the results obtained from the low-cost IMU motion capture system and the optical system are highly consistent with each other.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>RMSE(°)</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexion (R)</td>
<td>9.18±1.91</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexion (L)</td>
<td>6.17±2.22</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Adduction (R)</td>
<td>18.91±1.57</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Adduction (L)</td>
<td>6.11±0.51</td>
<td>-0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Rotation (R)</td>
<td>14.74±2.26</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Rotation (L)</td>
<td>4.83±0.64</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Angle (R)</td>
<td>11.26±2.89</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Angle (L)</td>
<td>10.83±4.64</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle Angle (R)</td>
<td>5.38±1.48</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle Angle (L)</td>
<td>13.61±1.39</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Joint Angle

During the experiment, we analyzed the ankle, knee, and hip angles of each lower limb throughout a squatting cycle, as depicted in figure 5. The results indicated that there were no significant differences in hip adduction, hip rotation, knee angle, and ankle angle between the normal squat and the knee valgus squat. However, compared to the normal squat, the knee valgus squat resulted in an increase of hip flexion in the right and left legs by 18.06% and 13.17%, respectively. This suggests that the primary difference between the two squatting methods is mainly due to the rotation of the hip.

C. Joint Moment

To normalize the results, we divided the joint moment of interest by the subject's weight and obtained the joint moment distribution per unit weight in a single squat cycle, as shown in figure 6.

As illustrated in the figure, the knee valgus squat exhibits a notably consistent torque distribution in hip adduction/rotation as compared to the standard squat, while the directions of hip flexion, knee angle, and ankle angle are slightly altered. Specifically, when the subject squatted to their lowest point, the knee valgus squat resulted in more torque in the direction of hip flexion.

When the subject squatted and stood up during the squat, the knee valgus squat torque exhibited greater fluctuations in the direction of knee angle and ankle angle, with both angles exhibiting higher torque at the lowest point. These findings suggest that an incorrect squatting position could impose greater stress on the ankle, knee, and hip joints, leading to possible joint injury.

From figure 6, it can be seen that the joint torque of the hip is significantly higher than that of other joints during squatting. Therefore, in the design process of an exoskeleton, special attention should be paid to the supporting structure of this joint.
D. Muscle force

The main muscles that need to be involved in the squat process include biceps femoris long head (bfhl), gastrocnemius lateral head (gaslat), gastrocnemius medial head (gasmmed), semitendinosus (semiten), sibialis anterior (tibant) and vastus lateralis (vaslat).

Figure 7 depicts the activation levels of the muscles mentioned above, which we determined through Opensim simulation. The results indicate that during knee valgus, the activation of Bfhl, Semiten, and Vaslat muscles increased towards the end of the squat. Specifically, the left and right Bfhl muscles' activity increased by 54.34% and 35.41%, respectively, while the activation of the left and right Semiten muscles increased by 20.18% and 70.91%, respectively. Both the left and right Vaslat became more activated, by 17.65% and 33.86%, respectively. These findings suggest that an incorrect deep squatting posture requires greater muscular effort to maintain body position during the squat.

In addition, as illustrated in the figure, Tibant was the most activated muscle overall, with its activation level peaking at 1 during both the squat down and stand up phases. Furthermore, its activation duration was longer during knee valgus compared to normal squatting, indicating that this muscle is more susceptible to damage. To mitigate the activation of Tibant and prevent muscular damage, particular attention should be paid to the secondary structure design of Tibant when developing the exoskeleton.

We then use the muscle activations to drive our musculoskeletal model using computer muscle control (CMC) method and simulate these two squatting conditions. The total metabolic cost was calculated using a calculator at different time intervals. Figure 8 shows the total metabolic cost ranging from 30 to 80 J. The maximum metabolic cost for normal squatting was 72.97±1.04 J, while that for knee valgus squatting was 74.56±0.97 J. The mean values were similar, but the standard error was more significant for knee valgus squatting, indicating that in some cases, knee valgus squatting may result in higher metabolic cost. In addition, it should be noted that the musculoskeletal model used in this study only includes lower body muscles and cannot represent the overall metabolic cost of the whole body but only reflects the metabolic cost of the relevant muscles during squatting. Future work is required to accurately measure and calculate the metabolic cost of the whole body during squat movement.

Fig. 7 The muscle forces during normal and knee valgus squatting

IV. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we developed a low-cost IMU data-acquisition system for human motion analysis. The system allows researchers to analyze human motion using the OpenSense component in OpenSim software, which can further guide and optimize exoskeleton design based on the analysis results. As demonstration, we conducted two experiments on normal squats and knee valgus squats, respectively. The data collected by the low-cost IMUs were then used in the OpenSim software for inverse kinematics, residual reduction, CMC simulation, and inverse dynamics simulation. We obtained the joint motion angles, torques, and muscle forces in a single squatting cycle. The results confirm that the proposed system is practical, useful, customizable, and affordable for integration in future assistive devices and exoskeletons.

In addition, analysis in figures 3 and 4 reveals that the joint with the highest torque during the squatting process is the pelvis joint, the muscle with the highest activation is the tibialis anterior, and the muscle with the highest force is the soleus, both of which are located in the calf region. Based on these experimental results, we are informed that in the design of exoskeletons, it is necessary to focus on compensating for the torque at the pelvis joint and the muscle force in the calf region during squatting to reduce the likelihood of muscle fatigue-induced injury. In future research, we plan to develop a soft exoskeleton system that is affordable and practical based on these research findings.

V. CONCLUSION

In this research, we have built a low-cost IMU data acquisition system at only ~500 USD, which can be used to capture human squatting movements. The OpenSim software can be used to calculate human motion during the process. We conducted two experiments: normal squats and knee valgus squats, and analyzed joint motion angles, joint torques, muscle forces, and metabolic conditions. The following conclusions can be drawn:
Compared to a normal squat, a knee-out squat increases hip rotation in the right and left legs by 18.06% and 13.17% respectively. This indicates that the differences between the two squatting methods are mainly caused by the rotation of the hip.

Compared with normal squats, knee valgus squats only showed some differences in hip adduction and rotation directions. Incorrect squatting posture may increase the load on the hip joint. In designing exoskeletons, emphasis should be placed on designing the supporting structure of this joint.

During squats, muscle activation during deep squats with knee valgus shows various levels of increase. And the tibialis anterior muscle has the highest activation level, in designing exoskeletons, emphasis should be placed on designing supporting structures for the Tibialis anterior and soleus muscles to reduce their activation levels and avoid muscle injury.
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