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## Wall following limitation - obstacles



- Build a map, and plan an obstacle free path to follow.
- Locally avoid obstacles (reactive approach) without any knowledge of the map.


## Obstacle Avoidance: Follow the gap

Reactive Navigation:
Use immediate sensor input to decide driving command
Planning for obstacle avoidance: Use LIDAR for both static and dynamic obstacle avoidance


## Race: Reactive Methods

Race Format:
Time-trial; single car on track
Penalties: Crashing
Baseline: Complete 5 laps without crashing
Example Video: CPS Week 2018


# How do we get to that level of performance without a map? 

Gnuplot (window id: 0 )
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## Follow the Gap

[0.5, 5.1, 6.0, 7.0, inf, 3.0, inf, 3.0, inf, 8.0, I.0, 3.0]

Where should the car go?

## Follow the Gap

 wrong?


## Follow the Gap

Gap 2
[0.5, 5.1, 6.0, $\lambda .0$, inf, 3.0, inf, 3.0, inf, 8.0, I.0, 3.0]

Gap: Series of at least $n$ consecutive hits that pass some distance threshold $t$
$n=3, t=5.0$

Gnuplot (window id: 0 )


[^1]
## Gap finding

- Find the gaps
- Calculate the width of each gap
- Determine the widest gap
- Optional: Determine the "deepest" gap


## Follow-the-gap : Intuition

Heading in the longest possible straight line is good for "cutting corners"
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## Follow-the-gap : Intuition

Purely following a straight line fails to account for the fact that you're a car, not an infinitesimally small point...

## Point Robot Approach

- Robot and Obstacles are assumed circular.
- Radius of robot is added to radius of obstacles
- The Robot is reduced to a point, while Obstacles are equally enlarged.



## Follow-the-gap : Intuition

We start with LIDAR readings (presented as an array of floating-point distances), and look for consecutive readings that differ by an amount over some threshold.

$$
[\ldots, 2.1,2.2,4.8,4.9, \ldots]
$$

## Follow-the-gap : Intuition

We mask over LIDAR readings (shown in orange) in order to make them appear shorter in the "filtered" array--these should approximate points that the car can actually reach (more or less)

## Follow-the-gap : Intuition

Repeat this process for every disparity, but never overwrite closer "distances" with farther ones

## Follow-the-gap : Intuition

In the "filtered" array of distances, the longest path should be something that's actually reachable (or at least close to reachable)

Why Naive "Follow the Gap" doesn't work

Threshold


Why "Find the Largest Gap" doesn't work

Threshold



The Idea:
"Seek out the largest gap"

Works fine for holonomic robots (eg. turtlebots)
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## The Idea: <br> "Seek out the largest gap"

Works fine for holonomic robots (eg. turtlebots)

Works fine for nonholonomic robots in environments with sparse obstacles

Doesn't optimize for safety
Doesn't consider car's dimensions

Hard to decide threshold t

# FI/IO Follow the Gap 

Idea

## Every timestep, cleverly avoid the nearest obstacle

Step I
Find nearest LIDAR point and put a "safety bubble" around it of radius rb

Step 2
Set all points inside bubble to distance 0 . All nonzero points are considered 'free space'

Step 3
Find maximum length sequence of consecutive non-zeros among the 'free space' points - The max-gap


Step 4
Find the 'best' point among this maximum length sequence

Naive: Choose the furthest point in free space, and set your steering angle towards it

Step I
Find nearest LIDAR point and put a "safety bubble" around it of radius rb

Step 2
Set all points inside bubble to distance 0 . All nonzero points are considered 'free space'

Step 3
Find maximum length sequence of consecutive non-zeros among the 'free space' points - The max-gap


Step 4
Find the 'best' point among this maximum length sequence

Naive: Choose the furthest point in free space, and set your steering angle towards it

Step I
Find nearest LIDAR point and put a "safety bubble" around it of radius rb

Step 2
Set all points inside bubble to distance 0 . All nonzero points are considered 'free space'

Step 3
Find maximum length sequence of consecutive non-zeros among the 'free space' points - The max-gap

$\left[4.8, \mathbf{0 . 0}, \mathbf{0 . 0}, \mathbf{0 . 0}, \ldots,{ }^{464} 0.1,8.3\right]$
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Changing speed results in you losing velocity
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Step 4
Find the 'best' point among this maximum length sequence

Naive: Choose the furthest point in free space, and set your steering angle towards it

Changing speed results in you losing velocity

Better Idea Intuition If you're 3-4m away from your closest obstacle, should you immediately make a sharp turn to avoid it?

## Step I

Find nearest LIDAR point and put a "safety bubble" around it of radius r

Step 2
Set all points inside bubble to distance 0 . All nonzero points are considered 'free space'
Step 3
Find maximum length sequence of consecutive non-zeros among the 'free space' points - The max-gap

Step 4
Choose the furthest point in free space, and set your steering angle towards it


## Where the Algorithm Fails

## Risk doing a U-turn here



## Where the Algorithm Fails

## Risk doing a U-turn here

Sometimes the algorithm can narrowly avoid a u-turn in these cases (especially if the car is moving at slower speeds enabling it to react to the correct path more quickly)


## Where the Algorithm Fails

## Risk doing a U-turn here

The correct path is now behind the car, and the farthest path the car can "see" in the correct direction is shorter than the path in the wrong direction.


## Where the Algorithm Fails

## Risk doing a U-turn here



## What about longitudinal control

## Drive as fast as possible

Pick a speed based solely on the forward distance

- If this distance is long enough, drive at the car's maximum speed
- If it's too short for the car to turn or avoid a collision, then stop.
- If the forward distance is anywhere in between the minimum safe distance and the safe "full-speed" distance, then simply scale the speed based on the distance (simple linear-scaling approach)

Follow the Gap navigation and planning on the F1/10 Car



[^0]:    2.56317, 0.773238

[^1]:    2.56317, 0.773238

[^2]:    if the angle exceeds the car's steering angle that we just turn as sharply as possible towards the correct angle

